# An Overview of USPTO Operations



David J. Kappos

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



### The World in 2012

#### COMPUTERWORLD

Smartphone patent fight: 'World War III'

Experts expect most of the dozens of patent lawsuits to eventually be settled; smartphone innovation could suffer, some add

## **Forbes**

The Washington post Make us your start page
POSTPOLITICS

SOPA protests shut down Web sites

Obama Says So Long SOPA,
Killin Cartes Sold Laternet
Pirac

Technology Patent Wars Heat Up -- Microsoft and AOL Make Billion Dollar Deal

Five Star Equities Provides Stock Research on AOL, Inc. and Microsoft Corporation



# IP Battles and Technological Change






sewing machine



railroads



telegraph



telephone



aircraft



radio

#### now







### AIA: Protecting Innovation






http://www.uspto.gov/AmericalnventsAct



### America Invents Act (AIA) Chronological Status



4/24/2012

#### What we have implemented:

#### What remains to be implemented:

#### (60-Day and Under Effective Dates)

- · Reexamination transition for threshold
- Tax strategies are deemed within the prior art
- Best Mode
- Human organism prohibition
- Patent term extension for drugs
- Virtual and false marking
- Venue change from DDC to EDVA for certain suits
- OED Statute of Limitations
- Fee Setting Authority (Sec. 10)
- Establishment of micro-entity (effective after Sec. 10 rulemaking completed)
- Prioritized examination
- 15% transition surcharge
- Electronic filing incentive
- Reserve fund

#### (12-Month Effective Date)

- Inventor's oath/declaration
- Third party submission of prior art for patent application
- Supplemental examination
- · Citation of prior art in a patent file
- · Priority examination for important technologies
- Inter partes review
- · Post-grant review
- Transitional post-grant review program for covered business method patents

#### (18-Month Effective Date)

- First-to-File
- · Derivation proceedings
- Repeal of Statutory Invention Registration




# Proposed Rules in Progress (12 Month timeline)

| NPRMs Published as of January 25, 2012                      | NPRMs Published in February                              |
|---|--|
| Inventor's oath / declaration                               | Inter partes review                                      |
| Third party submission of prior art in a patent application | Post grant review  |
| Citation of prior art in a patent file                      | Transitional program for covered business method patents |
| OED Statute of Limitations                                  | Derivation   |
| Supplemental examination                                    |  |

4/24/2012



# Fee Setting 17 Month Timeline





### Track One Statistics

|  |  | Petiti<br>File |           | Days to<br>Petition<br>Decision |  | % of Decided Petitions Granted  | Days from Petition to first Office action |
|--|--|----------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
|  | Number of<br>Track One                               | 3,07           | 76        | 48.2                            |  | 97%                             | 43.1                                      |
|  | Examination Status  Number of Track One applications |                | on Merits |                                 |  | Final<br>Dispositions<br>mailed | Allowances<br>Mailed                      |
|  |  |                |           | 1,827                           |  | 153                             | 285                                       |

4/24/2012

Search our site



The United States Patent and Trademark Office an agency of the Department of Commerce

PATENTS | TRADEMARKS | IP LAW & POLICY | PRODUCTS & SERVICES | INVENTORS | NEWS & NOTICES | FAQS | ABOUT US




#### Data Visualization Center

Your window to the USPTO Patents Dashboard

#### March 2012 Patents Data, at a Glance











# Unexamined Patent Application Backlog FY 2009 – FY 2012 (through April 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2012)






### Total UPR and RCE Filings FY 2001 – FY 2012 (through April 17<sup>th</sup>)



FY 2012 Target based on 2013 President's Budget: 533,300 (5.2% Projected Growth over FY 2011)




# RCE Backlog FY 2010 – FY 2012 (through April 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2012)



# THE STATE OF CONTINUENT OF CON

# First Action Pendency and Total Pendency FY 2009 — FY 2012 (through March)




- · · Average Total Pendency FY 2012 Target: 34.7 months (Actual as of 03/31/12 34.1 months)
- · · Average First Action Pendency FY 2012 Target: 22.5 months (Actual as of 03/31/12 22.6 months)

(Average First Action Pendency FY 2012 Stretch Target Less CPC Adjustment: 22.3 months)



### Forward Looking First Action Pendency FY 2009 – FY 2012 (through March)



Forward Looking Pendency represents an estimate of the average number of months it would take to complete a first Office action under current and projected workload and resource levels for an application filed at the given date.




# Interview Time FY 2008 - FY 2012 (through March)



(77,328 hours as of March, 2012, compared with 65,086 hours as of March, 2011.)



# Clearing the Oldest Patent Applications 2.0 (COPA) FY 2012 (through April 7<sup>th</sup>, 2012)



FY 2012 COPA Backlog (Tail): Applications with filing dates on or before September 1st, 2010 (304,000 on Oct. 1, 2011)


FY 2012 Goal: Reduce COPA Backlog (Tail) by 260,000 applications (24,108 applications have been disposed)

12,474 of the original COPA 1.0 cases are awaiting first action.

Applications to the right of the red line include pending cases from the COPA 1.0 initiative.




### 12 Month Rolling Average Actions Per Disposal FY 2009 – FY 2012 (through April 7<sup>th</sup>, 2012)



#### ---- Actions Per Disposal Target



## 2 Month Rolling Average Allowance Rate, by Bi-Week FY 2009 – FY 2012 (through April 7<sup>th</sup>, 2012)



Sustained increase in allowance rate is a positive indicator – it shows increased efficiency of the workforce.





### **Central Re-examination Unit (CRU)**

 Significant decline in pendency: Increased staffing; Pilot program for waiving the statement period; Streamlined process for handling petitions and


publication of certificates

#### Reexam Filings and Time to Certificate






### **AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAYS TO ISSUE**






# **Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences**




\*Aggressive hiring to tackle BPAI backlog







# Quality Measures Final Disposition, In-Process and QIR 12 Month Rolling Average FY 2009 — FY 2012 (through March)



2012 Final Disposition Compliance Rate Target Range 2012 In-Process Compliance Rate Target Range (95.6% - 96.7%). Actual as of March: 96.3% (94.6% - 96.0%). Actual as of March: 96%

2012 Quality Index Reporting Target Range (88.3% - 91.60%). Actual as of March: 89.6%



### **Quality Measures**

|                     |                                      | Metrics                       |                          |                         |                               |                            |                            |                   |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Reporting<br>Period | Final Disposition<br>Compliance Rate | In-Process<br>Compliance Rate | FAOM<br>Search<br>Deview | Complete<br>FAOM Review | Quality<br>Index<br>Reporting | External<br>Quality Survey | Internal<br>Quality Survey | Quality Composite |
| FY12Q2              | 96.3%                                | 96.0%                         | 97.0%                    | 91.5%                   | 89.6%                         | 5.0                        | 5.1                        | 65.5              |
| FY12-Feb            | 98.2%                                | 96.0%                         | 95.6%                    | 90.9%                   | 69.5%                         | 3.0                        | 5.1                        | 49.3              |
| FY12-Jan            | 95.9%                                | 96.3%                         | 95.6%                    | 91.0%                   | 89.5%                         | 3.0                        | 4.3                        | 43.9              |
| FY12Q1              | 95.4%                                | 95.2%                         | 95.6%                    | 91.0%                   | 89.5%                         | 3.0                        | 4.3                        | 35.2              |
| FY11Q4              | 95.4%                                | 95.2%                         | 94.6%                    | 90.9%                   | 89.5%                         | 3.0                        | 4.3                        | 30.7              |
| FY11Q3              | 95.4%                                | 94.7%                         | 93.4%                    | 90.0%                   | 89.1%                         | 2.7                        | 4.2                        | 26.4              |
| FY11Q2              | 95.3%                                | 94.8%                         | 90.8%                    | 89.7%                   | 88.9%                         | 2.7                        | 4.2                        | 25.5              |
| FY11Q1              | 96.2%                                | 94.9%                         | N/A                      | N/A                     | 88.9%                         | 3.6                        | N/A                        | N/A               |
| FY10Q4              | 96.3%                                | 94.9%                         | N/A                      | N/A                     | 89.3%                         | 3.6                        | N/A                        | N/A               |
| FY10Q3              | 96.0%                                | 94.6%                         | N/A                      | N/A                     | 89.5%                         | 1.8                        | N/A                        | N/A               |
| FY10Q2              | 95.7%                                | 94.4%                         | N/A                      | N/A                     | 89.1%                         | 1.8                        | N/A                        | N/A               |
| FY10Q1              | 94.5%                                | 94.1%                         | N/A                      | N/A                     | 87.9%                         | 1.2                        | N/A                        | N/A               |
| FY09Q4              | 94.4%                                | 93.6%                         | N/A                      | N/A                     | 85.9%                         | 1.2                        | N/A                        | N/A               |
| FY09Q3              | 94.1%                                | 94.1%                         | N/A                      | N/A                     | 84.2%                         | 1.1                        | N/A                        | N/A               |
| FY09Q2              | 93.8%                                | 93.9%                         | N/A                      | N/A                     | 83.4%                         | 1.1                        | N/A                        | N/A               |
| FY09Q1              | 94.0%                                | 93.4%                         | N/A                      | N/A                     | 83.5%                         | 1.3                        | N/A                        | N/A               |

FY 2011 was baseline year.


#### Actual as of FY 2012 Q2 - 65.5



#### Green Technologies Pilot Data as of February 27<sup>th</sup>, 2012

| Average time from petition grant to final  |          |
|--|----------|
| disposition:                               | 303 days |
| Shortest time from petition grant to final |          |
| disposition:                               | 47 days  |
| Longest time from petition grant to final  |          |
| disposition:                               | 740 days |

| Green Technologies Pilot      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Request Summary               | 10/10 | 11/10 | 12/10 | 01/11 | 02/11 | 03/11 | 04/11 | 05/11 | 06/11 | 07/11 | 08/11 | 09/11 | 10/11 | 11/11 | 12/11 | 1/12 | 02/12 | Total |
| Petitions Received            | 32    | 166   | 340   | 287   | 165   | 548   | 150   | 276   | 216   | 162   | 151   | 145   | 291   | 202   | 201   | 202  | 186   | 5,550 |
| Awaiting Decision (by month)  | 51    | 107   | 256   | 220   | 224   | 310   | 327   | 285   | 272   | 282   | 244   | 252   | 325   | 357   | 345   | 382  | 278   |       |
| Requests Granted (by month)   | 26    | 81    | 122   | 222   | 117   | 263   | 86    | 237   | 167   | 116   | 206   | 111   | 156   | 128   | 308   | 134  | 256   | 3,500 |
| Requests Denied (by month)    | 6     | 1     | 0     | 10    | 9     | 23    | 14    | 14    | 9     | 0     | 3     | 0     | 7     | 0     | 11    | 4    | 12    | 269   |
| Requests Dismissed (by month) | 5     | 28    | 69    | 91    | 35    | 176   | 33    | 67    | 53    | 41    | 65    | 32    | 55    | 42    | 65    | 27   | 22    | 1,503 |





#### Global Impacts of AIA

- AIA adopts international norms related to:
  - First-to-file
  - Prior user rights
  - Broadening the definition of prior art
  - Eliminating the Hilmer doctrine
  - Virtually eliminating the best mode requirement
- US Patent Reform:
  - Facilitates worksharing with international patent offices
  - Provides renewed opportunities to harmonize the international patent system



# Current Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) Programs

- Japan (JPO)
- Korea (KIPO)
- China (SIPO)
- European Patent Office (EPO)
- Germany (DPMA)
- Australia (IPAU)
- Canada (CIPO)
- United Kingdom (UKIPO)
- Denmark (DKPTO)
- Iceland (IPO)
- Norway (NIPO)
- Nordic Patent Institute (NPI)
- Finland (NBPR)
- Sweden (PRV)

- Russia (Rospatent)
- Israel (ILPO)
- Taiwan (TIPO)
- Hungary (HPO)
- Spain (SPTO)
- Austria (APO)
- Singapore (IPOS)
- Mexico (IMPI)





### PPH Requests at USPTO

- USPTO goal for PPH requests in calendar year 2011: 8,000
- Status as of March 16:

| Paris-PPH | 7,032  |
|-----------|--------|
| PCT-PPH   | 3,290  |
| Total     | 10,322 |

PPH results compared with all cases:

|                      | Paris-PPH | РСТ-РРН | All Cases |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Grant Rate           | 89%       | 92%     | 49%       |
| (Allowances/Total    |           |         |           |
| Number of Disposals) |           |         |           |
| Actions per Disposal | 2.13      | 1.61    | 2.49      |

4/24/2012



# Cooperative Patent Classification (CPC)



| CPC Milestone   | Timeframe       |
|---|-----------------|
| Introduction to CPC for all examiners.  | 2012            |
| Develop Examiner Training with EPO.   | 2012-2014       |
| Contractors apply CPC symbols to PGPUB pipeline documents.  | January 1, 2013 |
| USPC and CPC symbols will be searchable in EAST/WEST, while routing remains based on USPC.  | 2013            |
| CPC symbols will propagate from PGPUB to Grants   | 2013            |
| Examiners will begin to add CPC symbols as needed on issued applications, with voluntary search capability of CPC symbols in EAST/WEST. | 2013-2014       |
| Final stage of CPC implementation.  | 2015            |

4/24/2012



# Trademark Performance: Application Filings



FY 2010: 368,939
 classes

• FY 2011: 398,667 classes

 FY 2012 : projected 413,000 classes



# Trademark Performance: Quality

| FY 2011<br>Trademark Performance Measures   | FY 2012<br>Targets | FY 2012<br>Q1<br>Results |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------|
| FIRST ACTION COMPLIANCE In-process review evaluation of the statutory bases for which the Office raises issues and or refuses marks for registration based on the first office action.                              | 95.5%              | 94.1%*                   |
| FINAL ACTION COMPLIANCE In-process review evaluation of the statutory bases for which the Office raises issues and or refuses marks for registration based on the examiner's approval or denial of the application. | 97.0%              | 96.4%*                   |
| EXCELLENT OFFICE ACTION  New measure for FY 2011 indicating the comprehensive quality of the first Office action search, evidence, writing and decision making.   | 20.0%              | 22.1%                    |

<sup>\*</sup>Preliminary Numbers

4/24/2012



#### Criteria for Excellence\*


- 1. Correctness in decision making
- 2. Quality of the search
- 3. Quality and relevance of evidence
- 4. Clarity of the written Office Action

\*Office action must meet all 4 criteria to be considered excellent

4/24/2012



# Trademarks Next Generation





### IP & Humanitarian Challenges

# Patents for Hum Inity



http://
patentsforhumanity.
challenge.gov/

- President's Global Development Agenda
- Awards competition for patent owners and licensees who apply their disruptive technologies to underserved people of the world
- Accepting Applications Since March 1, 2012
- Judges will choose winners in four categories:
  - medical technology
  - food and nutrition
  - clean technology
  - information technology

Search our site



The United States Patent and Trademark Office an agency of the Department of Commerce

PATENTS | TRADEMARKS | IP LAW & POLICY | PRODUCTS & SERVICES | INVENTORS | NEWS | ONLINE SERVICES | FAQs | ABOUT

#### President Obama Presents the 2010 National Medal of Technology and Innovation October 21

Under Secretary for Commerce and Director of the USPTO David Kappos and Deputy Director Teresa Stanek Rea traveled to the White House to honor the recipients of the highest award bestowed upon innovators in the United States.



Innovation for improvement in the effectiveness of space propulsion systems. Photo by NSF.



Dynamic text about the event or item here



America **Invents Act** Your guide»

#### >> patents

#### >> trademarks

#### >> iplaw&policy

#### Event: Title of the **Event Here**

Dynamic text about the event or item here

Event: Title of the

Dynamic text about the

Director's Forum

Latest director's blog

**Data Visualization** 

The Patents, Trademarks,

post title

Center

**Event Here** 

event or item here



#### **POPULAR LINKS**

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) Patents Electronic Filing System (EFS-Web)

Patents: Manual of Patent Examining Procedures

Trademark Electronic Application System (TEAS)

Trademarks for First-Time Filers

Trademarks: Official Gazette

Trademark Applications and Registrations Retrieval (TARR)

Trademark Manual of Examining Procedures (TMEP)

**USPTO Forms** 

Fee Schedule

#### GOV RESOURCES

usptocareers.gov

Flexible schedules and a career in innovation commerceconnect.gov

Department of Commerce business resources

FDSys.gov Browse the Federal Register

#### **USPTO NEWS**

Commissioner for Patents Robert Stoll to Retire from Government Service After 29 Years at the USPTO.

**USPTO Launches Small Business** Innovation Research Pilot Program

USPTO to Host Webinar on America Invents Act (AIA) With Senior Agency

USPTO and EPO Launch New Website for the Cooperative Patent Classification (CPC) Project

VIEW ALL HEADLINES >>

#### and OPEA dashboards Calendar of Events



#### subscription center>>

Get USPTO publications in your inbox










- Accessibility Privacy Policy
  - Terms of Use Security
  - Emergencies/Security Alerts
- » Federal Activities Inventory Reform (FAIR) Act
- » Notification and Federal Employee Antidiscrimination and Retaliation (NoFEAR) Act
- » Budget & Performance
- » Freedom of Information Act
- Department of Commerce NoFEAR Act Report
- » Regulations.gov
- » STOPIFakes.gov
- » Strategy Targeting Organized Piracy (STOPI)
  - » Information Quality Guidelines » Department of Commerce
    - » USPTO Webmaster




### Patents End-to-End (PE2E)



4/24/2012



### IP Awareness Assessment Tool





#### Before starting the assessment, please note:

- · Save the link for this page as a favorite/bookmark on your browser.
- In the "Internet Options" of your browser, deselect/uncheck history on exit. This will allow you to return and resume your assessment session in case you cannot finish it in one sitting. This will also allow you to access your training materials and assessment results at your convenience.
- . As you are answering the assessment questions, choose the answer that best applies to your business or circumstances as an independent inventor or individual. Where applicable, choose all the responses that apply to your situation
- · Responses or data collected in the assessment are not stored or used by the USPTO or

- you to return and resume your assessment session in case you cannot finish it in one sitting. This will also allow you to access your training materials and assessment results at your
- . As you are answering the assessment questions, choose the answer that best applies to your business or circumstances as an independent inventor or individual. Where applicable, choose
- · Responses or data collected in the assessment are not stored or used by the USPTO or NIST MEP.



- Accessibility
- Privacy Policy
- Terms of Use
- Emergencies/Security Alerts
- » Information Quality Guidelines
- » Federal Activities Inventory Reform (FAIR) Act
- Notification and Federal **Employee Antidiscrimination** and Retaliation (NoFEAR) Act
- Budget & Performance
- Freedom of Information Act
- Department of Commerce NoFEAR Act Report
- Regulations.gov
- STOP!Fakes.gov Department of Commerce
- USA.gov

» Strategy Targeting Organized Piracy (STOP!)

NIST

- » Careers
- » Site Index
- » Contact Us
- » USPTO Webmaster

Last Modified: April 10, 2012

This page is owned by Inventor Resources.

ntor Assistance Program

Please note that any information provided

here does not constitute legal advice, but

is intended to increase your IP awareness.

When filing an application for obtaining

specific IP rights, it is recommended that you obtain professional legal assistance. The IP Awareness Assessment contains

links to external websites, USPTO does

not maintain those external sites and is

not responsible for the material found

Important Notice





#### Discuss the MPEP

Search Ideas

Sear

Active Campaigns

[Hide]

All Ideas

100 Secrecy, Access, National Security, and Foreign Filing

200 Types, Cross-Noting, and Status of Application

300 Ownership and Assignment

 400 Representative of Inventor or Owner

Archived Campaigns

All Ideas

Discuss Chapter 500: Receipt and Handling of Mail and Papers

Discuss Chapter 600: Parts, Form, and Content of Application

Discuss this Discussion Tool

Discuss the format of the new MPEP

Jsage statistics

**74** ideas posted

243 comments 388 votes 142 users
View the Leaderboard

#### Discuss the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP)

Welcome to the MPEP discussion too!! We have received many thoughtful ideas on Chapters 500 and 600 of the MPEP as well as on how to improve the discussion site. Each and every idea submitted by you, the participants in this conversation, has been carefully reviewed by the Office, and many of these ideas will be implemented in the next revision of the MPEP. We would like to thank everyone for participating in the discussion of the MPEP.

We have some great news! Chapters 100 through 400 of the MPEP are now available for discussion. Please submit any ideas and comments you may have on these chapters. Also, don't forget to vote on ideas and comments submitted by other users. As before, our editorial staff will periodically be posting proposed new material for you to respond to, and in some cases will post responses to some of the submitted ideas and comments.

Recently, we have received several comments concerning the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (AIA). Please note that comments regarding the implementation of the AIA should be submitted to the USPTO via email at aia\_implementation@uspto.gov or via postal mail, as indicated at the America Invents Act Website Additional information regarding the AIA is available at www.uspto.gov/americainventsact

We really appreciate your thinking and recommendations!

Submit New Idea

Recent (0) Popular (0) In Review (0) In Progress (0) Complete (0)

Getting Started:

MPEP sections can be viewed by cf ding on the boxed plus sign next to each mapter name on the left. You must require on the site to contribute ideas, come ents, and votes.

- View and Comment of Other Peoples' Ideas See all of the ideas below, or select a Chapter to see the judas associated with it.
- Add Your Idea tk on the "Submit New Idea" button.
- Vote, Vote Vote! Your votes are critical to ensuring that the best ideas "bubble up" to the top.
- Spread the Word! E-mail, tweet, or post this UR (uspto-mpep.ideascale.com) to your p.works, and invite them to get involved.

Review our <u>Terms of Participation and</u>
Privacy Policy.

Go mobile! Submission of ideas and voting can now be done using your smartphone; this discussion tool is available in a completely mobile version that will render optimally on any smart-phone with a Web browser. Of course, you can also still use the Web interface as before. Archived Campaigns [Hide]

Al Ideas

Discuss Chapter 500: Receipt and Handling of Mail and Papers

Discuss Chapter 600: Parts, Form, and Content of Application

Discuss this Discussion Tool

Discuss the format of the new MPEP

Submit New Idea

[ Show ]

Active Campaigns

Usage statistics

**74** ideas posted

243 comments 388 votes 142 users

View the Leaderboard

Popular Tags 😢

Subscribe

- New Ideas RSS Feed
- Top Ideas RSS Feed
- New Comments RSS Feed

Discuss Chapter 600: Parts, Form, and Content of Application :

You can start a discussion about a section within this chapter by clicking on the boxed plus si left of the chapter name. The contents of the chapter will be shown beneath it. Select a sectic subsection from the contents for discussion. You can <u>read this chapter</u> on the USPTO websit



608.01(C) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.»

Non-mandatory nature of Field of the Invention

4 comments

votes


Section should be revised to help novice Examiners recognize and appreciate that "Field of the Invention" section is statutorly required, ...more »

Summary section is statutorily required, and thus absence ... more »

Submitted by Mike Haynes 10 mont



# TMEP IdeaScale Links





#### ePetition Resource Page

The ePetitions Resource Page provides useful information and guidance regarding the submission, automatic processing, and immediate grant of ePetitions.

- Secure Filing with Auto-Grant. Filing an ePetition via EFS-Web automates the petition process and allows petitioners to directly input the requisite information into a secure Web interface and immediately receive an ePetition decision.
- Saves Time when Immediate Petition Decisions are Important. Time savings using ePetitions is especially advantageous for critical petitions where an automatic petition grant would reduce delays in restoration of patent rights, expedite withdrawal from representation and redirect Office correspondence to the new correspondence address, and initiate the revival of an abandoned application to save patent term adjustment time.



For questions regarding Patent e-Filing, or to suggest improvements to the online system, please send an email to ebc@uspto.gov or call the Patent Electronic Business Center at 1-866-217-9197 (toll free) or 571-272-4100 from 6 a.m. to 12 Midnight Eastern Time, Monday - Friday.

#### PDF-based ePetitions

The PDF-based ePetitions require the download and completion of the respective EFS-Web Fillable PDF Form.

- Petition to Make Special Based on Age (37 CFR 1.102)
  - SB 130 EFS-Web eForm [PDF] (version 1.0.18, 27JUL2009)
  - SB 130 Quick Start Guide [DOC]
- Petition to Accept Unintentional Delayed Payment of the Maintenance Fee (37 CFR 1.378(c))
  - SB 66 EFS-Web eForm [PDF] (version 2.2, 01MAR2009)
  - SB 66 Quick Start Guide [DOC]


#### Web-based ePetitions

The ePetition information can be entered directly into EFS-Web screens. The PDF form is **not required** for these ePetitions.

- Request for Withdrawal as Attorney or Agent of Record (37 CFR 1.36)
- Petitions to Withdraw from Issue after Payment of the Issue Fee
  - Petition to Withdraw from Issue after Payment of the Issue Fee (37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) or (2))



### IP Jobs Report



- IP-intensive industries accounted for about \$5.06 trillion in value added, or 34.8% of U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), in 2010.
- Every 2 jobs in IP-intensive industries supports an additional 1 job elsewhere in the economy.
- In total, 40.0 million jobs, or 27.7% of all jobs, were directly or indirectly attributable to the most IP-intensive industries.
- The AIA is strengthening IPR to allow those industries to continue to flourish and add jobs.

### Thank You

